

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: MU1154). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Connelly, N. G., Crossley, J. G., Orpen, A. G. & Salter, H. (1992). *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* pp. 1564–1568.
 Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). *Acta Cryst.* A46, 467–473.
 Spek, A. L. (1982). *Cryst. Struct. Commun.* 11, 413–416.
 Wells, A. F. (1975). In *Structural Inorganic Chemistry*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1105–1106

trans-Chloromethylbis(triphenylarsine)platinum(II)

ANDREAS ROODT, STEFANUS OTTO AND
JOHANN G. LEIPOLDT†

Department of Chemistry, University of the Orange
Free State, Bloemfontein 9300, South Africa


(Received 24 October 1994; accepted 13 December 1994)

Abstract

The title compound, *trans*-chloromethylbis(triphenylarsine)platinum(II), *trans*-[PtCl(CH₃)(C₁₈H₁₅As)₂], is isomorphous and isostructural with one of the two known crystalline forms of the corresponding triphenylphosphine analogue.

Comment

trans-Bis(triphenylarsine)chloromethylplatinum(II), (I), is one of the few bis(AsX₃)–platinum(II) complexes (where X is alkyl or aryl) isolated to date. It is isomorphous and isostructural with the analogous bis(triphenylphosphine) complex described by Bardi & Piazzesi (1981). However, a second crystalline form of the bis(triphenylphosphine) complex has recently been shown to exist (Otto, Roodt & Leipoldt, 1995).



The *trans* influence of the methyl group is marginally less pronounced in (I) than it is in the bis(PPh₃) complex; Pt–Cl bond distances of 2.431 (3) and 2.415 (5) Å found in the above mentioned studies of the bis(PPh₃) complex may be compared with the slightly shorter distance of 2.410 (2) Å obtained from this study. This is in agreement with the electronic influence PPh₃ > AsPh₃ (Cheeseman, Odell & Raethel, 1968). The Pt–Cl bond is shorter than that of 2.437 (2) Å found in *trans*-[PtClMe(AsMe₃)₂(η²-F₃CC₂CF₃)] (Davies, Puddephatt & Payne, 1972). The larger steric demand of the methyl group (C1) compared with that of the chloro ligand is illustrated both by the As1–Pt–As2 angle [176.30 (3)°], which deviates significantly from 180°, and by the C11–As1–Pt and C41–As2–Pt angles, which are *ca* 10° greater than the ideal tetrahedral angle of 109.5°.




Fig. 1. ORTEP (Johnson, 1976) view of the title structure showing the atom-numbering scheme and 30% displacement ellipsoids. The phenyl H atoms are omitted for clarity.

Experimental

The title complex was prepared by the addition of an excess of [As(C₆H₅)₃] (1.0 g, 3.3 mmol) in acetone (20 ml) to *trans*-[PtCl(CH₃){S(CH₃)₂}₂] (500 mg, 1.35 mmol) (Scott & Puddephatt, 1983) in acetone (10 ml). The solution was stirred for 30 min at room temperature. Filtration and recrystallization from benzene gave the desired product (1.05 g, yield >90%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ(CH₃) 0.07 p.p.m., ²J(PtH) 78 Hz. IR (KBr): ν(Pt–Cl) 324 cm⁻¹. The density *D_m* was measured by flotation in NaI/H₂O

Crystal data

[PtCl(CH₃)(C₁₈H₁₅As)₂]
M_r = 858.01
 Monoclinic
 P2₁/n
a = 11.749 (1) Å
b = 23.342 (2) Å
c = 12.644 (2) Å
 β = 111.32 (1)°

Mo Kα radiation
 λ = 0.71073 Å
 Cell parameters from 25
 reflections
 θ = 17–20°
 μ = 6.484 mm⁻¹
T = 293 (2) K
 Diamond shape

$V = 3230.3 (6) \text{ \AA}^3$
 $Z = 4$
 $D_x = 1.764 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
 $D_m = 1.744 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

$0.29 \times 0.28 \times 0.27 \text{ mm}$
 Colourless

C54	-0.1699 (10)	0.3957 (5)	0.7425 (9)	0.068 (3)
C55	-0.1368 (9)	0.4180 (4)	0.6587 (8)	0.059 (3)
C56	-0.0339 (9)	0.3986 (4)	0.6416 (7)	0.047 (2)
C61	0.3182 (9)	0.3631 (3)	0.7984 (7)	0.045 (2)
C62	0.4254 (11)	0.3660 (4)	0.7780 (8)	0.061 (3)
C63	0.5322 (10)	0.3830 (4)	0.8666 (10)	0.075 (3)
C64	0.5264 (12)	0.3984 (5)	0.9691 (9)	0.080 (4)
C65	0.4203 (8)	0.3980 (3)	0.9860 (6)	0.082 (4)
C66	0.3159 (8)	0.3792 (3)	0.9005 (6)	0.065 (3)

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4
 diffractometer
 $\theta/2\theta$ scans
 Absorption correction:
 empirical (Aksehrud,
 Grin, Zavalii, Pecharsky
 & Fundamunsky, 1989)
 $T_{\min} = 0.397$, $T_{\max} =$
 0.577
 2833 measured reflections
 2833 independent reflections

2653 observed reflections
 $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$
 $\theta_{\max} = 24.94^\circ$
 $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$
 $k = 0 \rightarrow 27$
 $l = 0 \rightarrow 15$
 3 standard reflections
 monitored every 100
 reflections
 intensity decay: <1%

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
 $R(F) = 0.0275$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.0696$
 $S = 1.066$
 2833 reflections
 373 parameters
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0616P)^2]$
 where $P = [\max(0, F_o^2)$
 $+ 2F_c^2]/3$

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.118$
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 1.69 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -1.41 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
 Atomic scattering factors
 from *International Tables*
 for *Crystallography* (1992),
 Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and
 6.1.1.4)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

$$U_{eq} = (1/3)\sum_i\sum_j U_{ij}a_i^*a_j$$

	x	y	z	U_{eq}
Pt	0.17280 (3)	0.346516 (13)	0.49556 (2)	0.03648 (13)
As1	0.16095 (8)	0.37062 (3)	0.30849 (6)	0.0364 (2)
As2	0.17871 (8)	0.32829 (3)	0.68258 (6)	0.0384 (2)
C1	0.1978 (2)	0.44693 (9)	0.5426 (2)	0.0492 (6)
C11	0.1610 (8)	0.2595 (3)	0.4603 (6)	0.041 (2)
C12	0.1486 (8)	0.3098 (3)	0.2012 (6)	0.033 (2)
C13	0.0408 (9)	0.2809 (4)	0.1531 (8)	0.059 (3)
C14	0.0296 (11)	0.2367 (4)	0.0755 (8)	0.066 (3)
C15	0.1262 (12)	0.2221 (4)	0.0463 (8)	0.067 (3)
C16	0.2344 (11)	0.2498 (5)	0.0948 (9)	0.073 (3)
C21	0.2458 (10)	0.2940 (4)	0.1720 (8)	0.057 (3)
C22	0.0217 (8)	0.4174 (3)	0.2196 (6)	0.042 (2)
C23	-0.0143 (9)	0.4198 (4)	0.1036 (7)	0.055 (3)
C24	-0.1118 (10)	0.4543 (5)	0.0416 (8)	0.072 (3)
C25	-0.1728 (9)	0.4847 (4)	0.0969 (9)	0.068 (3)
C26	-0.1386 (9)	0.4827 (4)	0.2097 (8)	0.055 (3)
C31	-0.0402 (8)	0.4486 (4)	0.2725 (7)	0.045 (2)
C32	0.3000 (7)	0.4145 (3)	0.3119 (6)	0.037 (2)
C33	0.2986 (9)	0.4494 (4)	0.2223 (8)	0.059 (3)
C34	0.3984 (12)	0.4812 (4)	0.2298 (11)	0.077 (3)
C35	0.5012 (11)	0.4799 (4)	0.3229 (11)	0.069 (3)
C36	0.5058 (9)	0.4452 (5)	0.4108 (9)	0.066 (3)
C37	0.4063 (8)	0.4127 (4)	0.4066 (7)	0.052 (2)
C41	0.1975 (8)	0.2503 (3)	0.7400 (6)	0.037 (2)
C42	0.3084 (9)	0.2305 (4)	0.8125 (8)	0.053 (2)
C43	0.3217 (10)	0.1757 (4)	0.8535 (9)	0.065 (3)
C44	0.2251 (10)	0.1393 (4)	0.8248 (8)	0.058 (3)
C45	0.1131 (10)	0.1584 (4)	0.7527 (8)	0.058 (3)
C46	0.0977 (9)	0.2135 (4)	0.7097 (7)	0.053 (2)
C51	0.0351 (8)	0.3550 (3)	0.7087 (6)	0.043 (2)
C52	0.0011 (10)	0.3329 (4)	0.7952 (7)	0.056 (3)
C53	-0.1018 (12)	0.3530 (5)	0.8098 (9)	0.072 (3)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Pt—C1	2.073 (8)	As1—C11	1.933 (7)
Pt—As2	2.3786 (9)	As1—C21	1.948 (8)
Pt—As1	2.3856 (9)	As2—C61	1.935 (9)
Pt—C11	2.410 (2)	As2—C51	1.936 (9)
As1—C31	1.915 (8)	As2—C41	1.942 (8)
C1—Pt—As2	90.8 (2)	C31—As1—Pt	111.2 (2)
C1—Pt—As1	92.4 (2)	C11—As1—Pt	119.0 (2)
As2—Pt—As1	176.30 (3)	C21—As1—Pt	116.0 (3)
C1—Pt—C11	177.0 (2)	C61—As2—Pt	112.8 (3)
As2—Pt—C11	88.38 (5)	C51—As2—Pt	113.6 (2)
As1—Pt—C11	88.46 (5)	C41—As2—Pt	119.8 (2)

H atoms were positioned assuming a C—H distance of 0.96 \AA and were constrained to ride on their parent C atoms. A single orientation parameter was refined for the methyl group and the refined H-atom U_{iso} was $0.062 (5) \text{ \AA}^2$.

Data collection: CAD-4 diffractometer software. Cell refinement: CAD-4 diffractometer software. Data reduction: PROFIT (Streltsov & Zavodnik, 1989). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93.

Financial assistance of the South African FRD and the Research Fund of the University of the Orange Free State is gratefully acknowledged.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: MU1156). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Aksehrud, L. G., Grin, Y. N., Zavalii, P. Y., Pecharsky, V. K. & Fundamunsky, V. S. (1989). XIIth European Crystallographic Meeting, Moscow, Collected Abstracts, Vol. 3, pp. 155–156.
- Bardi, R. & Piazzesi, A. M. (1981). *Inorg. Chim. Acta.* **47**, 249–254.
- Cheeseman, T. P., Odell, A. L. & Raethel, H. A. (1968). *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* pp. 1496–1598.
- Davies, B. W., Puddephatt, R. J. & Payne, N. C. (1972). *Can. J. Chem.* **50**, 2279–2284.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Otto, S., Roodt, A. & Leipoldt, J. G. (1995). *S. Afr. J. Chem.* In the press.
- Scott, J. D. & Puddephatt, R. J. (1983). *Organometallics*, **2**, 1643–1648.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). *Acta Cryst.* **A46**, 467–473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Streltsov, V. A. & Zavodnik, V. E. (1989). *Kristallografiya*, **34**, 1369–1375.